

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/563,595	TAKAHA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BAHAR SCHMIDTMANN	1623	

All Participants:

(1) BAHAR SCHMIDTMANN.

(2) Mr. Andrew Freistein.

Date of Interview: 18 May 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

On 16 May 2011, a proposed claim amendment to place the application in condition for allowance. Specifically, it was proposed to have claim 14 depend from claim 13 and have claim 15 depend from claim 13; cancel withdrawn claims 1-4 and 6-12; and amend the dependent claims to recite "The" at the beginning of each claim rather than "A". Finally, a proposed amendment to the abstract was discussed so that it recited similar subject matter as the claims in condition for allowance. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mr. Andrew Freistein on 18 May 2011.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/BAHAR SCHMIDTMANN/
Examiner, Art Unit 1623

Status of Application: condition for allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)